



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,071	06/22/2006	Shigenori Tanaka	Q95625	4850
23373	7590	09/18/2009	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			SRIVASTAVA, KAILASH C	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1657				
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
09/18/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/584,071	TANAKA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Kailash C. Srivastava	1657

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kailash C. Srivastava, Examiner. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ms. J. Hayes, Applicants' Representative. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: NONE.

Claim(s) discussed: Those of Record.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' Representative enquired of the Examiner a clarification of the Claims included in the Restriction Requirement mailed 09/08/2009. Examiner Clarified that the included Claims are 1-5 and 11-13. In the Restriction requirement mailed 09/08/2009, Group C Claims are not 11-15; rather they are 11-12. Examiner expressed regret over the inadvertent typographical error and corrected the record to indicate Claims 11-12 in Group C invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kailash C Srivastava/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1657